

1 **BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD**

2 In the Matter of

3 **STEPHEN O. MORRIS, M.D.**

4 Holder of License No. 10800
5 For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine
6 In the State of Arizona.

Board Case No. MD-06-0358A

**FINDINGS OF FACT,
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER**

(Letter of Reprimand)

7 The Arizona Medical Board ("Board") considered this matter at its public meeting on
8 October 11, 2007. Stephen O. Morris, M.D., ("Respondent") appeared before the Board with legal
9 counsel Sarah L. Sato for a formal interview pursuant to the authority vested in the Board by
10 A.R.S. § 32-1451(H). The Board voted to issue the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law
11 and Order after due consideration of the facts and law applicable to this matter.

12 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

13 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the
14 practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.

15 2. Respondent is the holder of License No. 10800 for the practice of allopathic
16 medicine in the State of Arizona.

17 3. The Board initiated case number MD-06-0358A after receiving a complaint
18 regarding Respondent's care and treatment of a fifty-six year-old male patient ("JN") alleging
19 Respondent's inappropriate and excessive prescribing contributed to JN's overdose and death.
20 JN had a history of multiple psychiatric diagnoses, polysubstance abuse, and non-compliance
21 with medication recommendations. JN was referred to Respondent and had his first visit on
22 February 17, 2004. Over the next fifteen months, Respondent prescribed a broad range of
23 psychoactive controlled substances. JN died on May 9, 2005 from an accidental overdose of
24 prescription medication.
25

1 4. Prior to presenting to Respondent, JN was being treated by an
2 allergist/immunologist/internist for depression. This physician noted JN had high blood pressure
3 and her records indicate she prescribed Zyprexa, BuSpar, Trazodone, Lamictal, Klonopin,
4 testosterone injections, Fioricet, and Diovan and she identified an "increased dose of codeine for
5 pain." This physician diagnosed "Bipolar – Depression," headache and pain and also treated JN
6 with all the medications listed above plus Celebrex, Xanax, Imitrex, Tramadol, Ultram, Botox,
7 Effexor and Adderall. On January 29, 2004 this physician noted JN's alcohol abuse had
8 increased and was "probably every day." On this same date, she referred JN to Respondent for
9 psychiatric evaluation. On the first visit Respondent used a standardized SCID form, but did not
10 document a standard mental status examination and did not list any DSM-IV Axis I-IV diagnosis.
11 Respondent indicated there was alcohol and marijuana abuse; depression, which may have been
12 substance induced; irritability, suicidal thoughts; and positive hypomania.

13 5. Over the next fifteen months that Respondent treated JN he prescribed multiple
14 psychoactive prescriptions often in higher than standard practice doses. Respondent wrote
15 multiple prescriptions for the same Class of medication, particularly sleep medication and
16 controlled Class V medications. Respondent also prescribed methylphenidate for several months
17 with a higher than necessary number of pills prescribed. For instance, when prescribing
18 methylphenidate 20mg tid, Respondent prescribed the 10mg size "2tid" instead of the 20 mg size,
19 resulting in a prescription of 180 controlled substance pills instead of 90. The same dose was
20 available in single capsule, one daily dosing (30 per month) that had a much lower potential for
21 abuse or diversion. Respondent also write prescriptions too close together in time, indicating
22 over-use or abuse. Respondent's record contains no entry indicating concern for possible
23 substance abuse, diversion to others, or of being manipulated for more medication than
24 necessary.

1 6. Respondent documented that he referred JN to a therapist within his practice, but
2 made no follow-up comments to indicate if JN ever underwent the therapy sessions or how they
3 might impact JN's care or Respondent's further prescribing. Respondent's records show
4 constantly shifting medications; polypharmacy; no clear cut differential diagnosis or primary
5 diagnosis that he was addressing; no rationale when medications, including controlled
6 substances, were abruptly stopped or started for brief periods; no explanation of why higher than
7 recommended amounts were prescribed; and no consultations. Respondent's record does not
8 contain any communication back to the referring physician for coordination of JN's care.

9 7. On March 25, 2005 JN presented to Respondent's office clearly drugged and
10 admitted to taking Phenobarbital. JN went to St. Luke's and was discharged on Gabitril, Paxil,
11 Neurontin, and Doxepin for sleep. Respondent placed JN on Adderall-XR 15mg q d #30. There is
12 no adequate work-up for ADHD or a diagnosis of ADHD in the records to support the prescribing
13 of Adderall for ADHD. There is also no note that the prescription was for adjunct treatment of
14 depression, for narcolepsy, daytime sleepiness or other off-label use. On April 20, 2005
15 Respondent prescribed Doxepin 100mg #60, indicating up to 200mg per day, or four times the
16 hospital discharge dose. Respondent prescribed the Doxepin, a first generation tricyclic
17 antidepressant and, on the same day, prescribed Paxil, a second generation antidepressant, at
18 60mg per day, given as a 40mg and 20mg tablet. In total on this date JN was given Paxil 40mg
19 #30, Paxil 20mg #30, Doxepin 100mg #60 and Immitrex 100mg #5 – a total of 125 pills.
20 Respondent prescribed this amount after JN was hospitalized twice with suicidal ideation,
21 admitted substance abuse, including prescription abuse, and even though JN was highly
22 unstable. The pharmacy refilled the Doxepin 16 days later and also filled a prescription for
23 Lunesta 3mg #30. Two days earlier JN filled a prescription for Neurontin 300mg #270.

24 8. Respondent's record only reflects that he performed a mental status of JN on his
25 initial visit and not again during his treatment. Respondent does not complete an entire mental

1 status examination again on patient, rather he does a focused evaluation, but does not always
2 record it. Respondent records contain many of JN's subjective complaints, but not much objective
3 analysis and no assessments or diagnoses. Respondent's January 19, 2005 note, just prior to
4 JN's hospitalization, mentions JN's suicidal ideation and other things, but there is no
5 documentation of any questioning of harm to himself or others.

6 9. Respondent maintained his doubling and then quadrupling the dose of Doxepin
7 over a short period of time after JN's hospitalization was not a large dose, even though he
8 acknowledged it is typically given in a lower dose and escalated as needed. Paxil and Doxepin
9 interact and the Paxil takes over the P450 enzyme system to the exclusion of the Doxepin and
10 the Doxepin metabolism is slowed down, it tends to linger and build. JN was a patient with known
11 polysubstance abuse and clear patterns of overuse of prescribed medications, yet Respondent
12 did not maintain control over the medications. Respondent agreed that monitoring blood levels of
13 tricyclic antidepressants in a patient who is also on SSRIs is important, yet there was no evidence
14 in JN's records that he did so. Respondent noted the literature reflects that in research blood
15 levels and EKGs are done, but he does not believe doing so has "trickled down" to the clinical
16 level.

17 10. Respondent maintained JN did not exhibit any signs of Serotonin Syndrome, yet
18 his records reflect that essentially every little thing caused him to tremor more. One of the effects
19 of high brain levels of serotonin is clonus or tremor. However, Respondent never considered that
20 JN might have had Serotonin Syndrome, because JN was not feeling especially anxious and
21 could sit calmly.

22 11. Respondent's records contain only a narrative that JN was prescribed certain
23 drugs, but there is no rationale for the higher doses of the potentially dangerous drugs prescribed
24 to JN – why the medications were used, the type used, the combination used. For instance, there
25 is no documentation that Respondent was or was not using Paxil for anxiety.

1 12. A physician is required to maintain adequate medical records. An adequate
2 medical record means a legible record containing, at a minimum, sufficient information to identify
3 the patient, support the diagnosis, justify the treatment, accurately document the results, indicate
4 advice and cautionary warnings provided to the patient and provide sufficient information for
5 another practitioner to assume continuity of the patient's care at any point in the course of
6 treatment. A.R.S. § 32-1401(2). Respondent's records were inadequate because they did not
7 support his diagnosis, justify the treatment, or provide sufficient information for another
8 practitioner to assume continuity of the patient's care.

9 13. The standard of care required Respondent to monitor a patient's tricyclic blood
10 levels while he was on concurrent SSRIs.

11 14. Respondent deviated from the standard of care by failing to monitor JN's tricyclic
12 blood levels while he was on concurrent SSRIs.

13 15. The standard of care required Respondent to refrain from prescribing a large
14 quantity of tricyclic antidepressants and Ritalin to a patient who was a known risk for overdosing.

15 16. Respondent deviated from the standard of care by prescribing a large quantity of
16 tricyclic antidepressants and Ritalin to JN who was a known risk for overdosing.

17 17. The standard of care required Respondent perform regular mental status
18 examinations when treating a patient for multiple psychiatric diagnoses and to conduct repetitive
19 questioning of a depressed patient regarding self harm, suicidal ideation or harm to others.

20 18. Respondent deviated from the standard of care by failing to perform regular mental
21 status examinations and failing to question JN regarding potential harm to self or others.

22 19. The standard of care requires a physician to make an adequate DSM I-V
23 diagnosis.

24 20. Respondent deviated from the standard of care by failing to make an adequate
25 DSM I-V diagnosis.

1 petition for rehearing or review is not filed, the Board's Order becomes effective thirty-five (35)
2 days after it is mailed to Respondent.

3 Respondent is further notified that the filing of a motion for rehearing or review is required
4 to preserve any rights of appeal to the Superior Court.

5 DATED this 15th day of January, 2008.



6 THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

7
8 By *Amanda Diehl*
9 AMANDA J. DIEHL, MPA, CPM
10 Deputy Executive Director

11 ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this
12 16th day of January, 2008 with:

13 Arizona Medical Board
14 9545 East Doubletree Ranch Road
15 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258

16 Executed copy of the foregoing
17 mailed by U.S. Mail this
18 16th day of January, 2008, to:

19 Sarah L. Sato
20 Olson, Jantsch & Bakker, PA
21 7243 North 16th Street
22 Phoenix, Arizona 85020-7250

23 Stephen O. Morris, M.D.
24 Address of Record

25 *Chris Bandy*